#### Introduction

This report provides the latest key information from Homefinder Somerset, and covers the year from 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020.

#### **Households registered with Homefinder Somerset**

The number of households registered with Homefinder Somerset increased by 11% (1,026 households) between 31 March 2019 and 1 April 2020, from 9,209 to 10,235.

The proportion of households in Gold Band on 1 April 2020 varied from 6% in Mendip to 9% in South Somerset. It can be seen that the proportion of households in the Silver and Bronze Bands were very similar in 3 of the 4 local authorities (35% or 36% in Silver and 55% to 58% in Bronze), whilst Mendip had a higher proportion of households in Silver (46%) and a lower proportion in Bronze (48%).

Table 1: Number of households registered with Homefinder Somerset by local authority and Band as at 1 April 2020

	Emer	Emergency		old	Sil	ver	Bro	nze	Total		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Mendip	4	0%	86	6%	712	46%	731	48%	1,533	15%	
Sedgemoor	3	0%	162	7%	870	35%	1,417	58%	2,452	24%	
Somerset West &											
Taunton	11	0%	320	8%	1,496	36%	2,332	56%	4,159	41%	
South Somerset	8	0%	190	9%	750	36%	1,143	55%	2,091	20%	
Total	26	26 0%		7%	3,828	37%	5,623	55%	10,235	100%	

Note: The percentages refer to the proportion of households who are in that Band (e.g. 7% of all households are in Gold Band). The percentage given for the total refers to the proportion of all households registered with a particular local authority (e.g. 24% of households are registered with Sedgemoor DC).

Table 2 shows that the change in the number of households registered on Homefinder Somerset over the year varies considerably between local authorities, from an increase of 21% in Sedgemoor to a decrease of 4% in Mendip. Whilst there may be differences between the local authorities in the numbers registering with Homefinder Somerset, it is likely that the changes are affected by the ability of local authorities to undertake the annual review of applications.

Table 2: Change in numbers on the register between 31 March 2019 and 1st April 2020

	31 March 2019	1 April 2020	% change in the year
Mendip	1,596	1,533	-4%
Sedgemoor	2,020	2,452	21%
Somerset West & Taunton	3,622	4,159	15%
South Somerset	1,971	2,091	6%
Total	9,209	10,235	11%

It can be seen from Table 3 and Chart 1 that the number of households registered with Homefinder Somerset has increased by 25% since Q4 2016/17. However, as previously reported this increase is largely due to the growth in the register in Somerset West & Taunton, which has increased by 55% during this time. This increase is due to the local authority being unable to undertake the renewals process due to transformation and merger of the 2 local authorities. This




contrasts with Mendip and South Somerset where the number of households registered at 1 April 2020 is largely unchanged from Q4 2016/17.

Table 3: Number of households registered with Homefinder Somerset by local authority

	Q4 16/17	Q1 17/18	Q2 17/18	Q3 17/18	Q4 17/18	Q1 18/19	Q2 18/19	Q3 18/19	Q4 18/19	Q1 19/20	Q2 19/20	Q3 19/20	Q4 19/20
Mendip	1,534	1,516	1,478	1,404	1,402	1,346	1,366	1,497	1,596	1,538	1,559	1,527	1,533
Sedgemoor	1,932	1,911	1,909	1,781	1,755	1,754	1,835	1,908	2,020	2,224	2,474	2,357	2,452
Somerset West & Taunton	2,688	2,537	2,618	2,637	2,680	2,808	3,030	3,386	3,622	3,808	4,048	4,040	4,159
South Somerset	2,063	2,072	2,035	1,906	1,873	1,897	1,954	1,980	1,971	1,973	2,000	2,017	2,091
Total	8,217	8,036	8,040	7,728	7,710	7,805	8,185	8,771	9,209	9,543	10,081	9,941	10,235

Chart 1: Number of households registered by local authority. Q4 2016/17 as the base



Just over half of all households (51%) registered with Homefinder Somerset need a 1 bed home, whilst just under a third (31%) need a 2 bed home.

Table 4: Size of home needed. Households registered as at 1 April 2020

	1 Bed		2 Be	eds	3 B	eds	4+ E	Beds	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Total
Mendip	769	50%	497	32%	210	14%	57	4%	1,533
Sedgemoor	1,172	48%	812	33%	353	14%	115	5%	2,452
Somerset West									
& Taunton	2,213	53%	1,223	29%	548	13%	175	4%	4,159
South Somerset	1,031	49%	626	30%	333	16%	101	5%	2,091
Total	5,185	51%	3,158	31%	1,444	14%	448	4%	10,235

Tables 5 shows the percentages on the register and percentages of homes let by the ethnicity of the main applicant for each local authority. It can be seen that the proportion of homes let to nonwhite households closely correlates to the proportions on the register in all areas



Table 5: Register as at 1 April 2020 and homes let in 2019/20 by ethnicity of main applicant

					Somerse		Sout			
	Meno	lip	Sedger	noor	& Taur	nton	Some	rset	Tota	al
	Register	Lets	Register	Lets	Register	Lets	Register	Lets	Register	Lets
Asian or Asian British	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Asian or Asian										
British Bangladeshi	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian										
British Chinese	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian										
British Indian	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian British Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian										
British Pakistani	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or Black										
British	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Black or Black										
British African	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Black or Black										
British Carribean	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or Black British Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mixed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Mixed Other	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mixed White &										
Asian	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mixed White &										
Black African	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mixed White &	00/	00/	00/	40/	00/	40/	00/	40/	00/	40/
Black Carribean	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Other	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Other Arab	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other Ethnic Group	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Other	. 70	0 7 0	. , 0	.,,	. 70	.,,	. 70	0,0	. , ,	.,,
Gypsy/Romany/Irish										
Traveller	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Prefer not to say	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%
rielei flot to say	2 /0	2 /0	1 /0	1 /0	1 70	2 /0	1 70	0 76	1 70	1 /0
White	95%	96%	96%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	95%	96%
White British	88%	92%	90%	91%	89%	91%	89%	92%	89%	91%
White Irish	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
White Other	6%	4%	5%	5%	6%	3%	6%	5%	6%	4%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%



#### **Bidding Analysis**

Between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020 a total of 136,469 bids were placed by 8,539 households on homes across Somerset. 98% of these bids were made via the website (www.homefindersomerset.co.uk).

Table 6 shows that the number of households bidding each month during 2019/20 has remained fairly consistent, ranging from just under 2,700 in March to just under 3,100 in October.

Table 6: Number of bids and bidders during 2019/20

	No. of	No. of
	bids	bidders
2019		
April	9,100	2,733
May	13,600	3,055
June	10,392	2,903
July	11,585	2,796
August	11,540	2,932
September	10,823	2,775
October	12,819	3,093
November	11,276	2,945
December	10,215	2,788
2020		
January	11,668	2,987
February	13,567	2,970
March	9,884	2,686
Average	11,372	2,889

Note: Bids that were withdrawn are not included

Table 7 below shows the proportion of households by local authority and Band who are considered to be inactive (e.g. they have not bid during the last 6 months and are not on autobid).

It can be seen that just over half of households (51%) registered with Homefinder Somerset can be considered as inactive. The proportion of inactive households varies by Band and local authority. Unsurprisingly, the proportion of inactive households increases as priority reduces. The figures for Somerset West & Taunton will be affected by the fact that the renewal process has not run for some time, as detailed above.

Table 7: Percentage of households who have not bid during the last 6 months, and are not on autobid as at 1 April 2020

	Emergency	Gold	Silver	Bronze	Total
Mendip	0%	31%	31%	53%	41%
Sedgemoor	0%	32%	44%	56%	50%
Somerset West & Taunton	36%	56%	52%	66%	60%
South Somerset	13%	30%	35%	51%	43%
Total	19%	41%	43%	59%	51%

Table 8 reveals that an average of 53 bids were placed for the 2,584 homes advertised between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020. The average number of bids varied considerably between local authority areas and property type/ size.



The average number of bids for houses (82) is much higher than for flats (33). Between local authorities the average number of bids for houses varied from 61 in South Somerset to 108 in Sedgemoor, whilst the average number of bids for flats ranged from 21 in South Somerset to 54 in Somerset West & Taunton.

The average number of bids for homes advertised during the year ranged from 36 in South Somerset (971 homes advertised) to 72 in Somerset West & Taunton (661 homes advertised).

Table 8: Number of homes and average number of bids by type, size and local authority (1

**April 2019 – 31 March 2020)** 

					Somer	set West	South S	omerset		
	Me	endip	Sedg	emoor	& Ta	unton	District	Council	T	otal
	No. of homes	Average no. of bids	No. of homes	Average no. of bids	No. of homes	Average no. of bids	No. of homes	Average no. of bids	No. of homes	Average no. of bids
Bungalow	84	58	49	80	114	67	148	28	395	52
1 Bed	56	67	38	86	63	77	76	30	233	61
2 Bed	28	40	10	60	50	53	72	25	160	39
3 Bed			1	48	1	131			2	90
Flat	207	29	259	35	311	54	449	21	1226	33
1 Bed	127	33	120	43	179	69	286	22	712	39
2 Bed	80	22	138	27	132	33	162	18	512	25
3 Bed			1	8			1	7	2	8
Flat/Maison	ette		5	32			1	22	6	31
1 Bed			2	60			1	22	3	47
2 Bed			1	28					1	28
3 Bed			2	7					2	7
House	126	73	181	108	218	101	354	61	879	82
1 Bed	4	86	4	145	1	99	4	60	13	97
2 Bed	66	92	82	132	107	129	170	74	425	102
3 Bed	49	51	92	87	101	76	166	50	408	65
4 Bed	7	35	3	41	8	60	14	40	32	44
5 Bed					1	3			1	3
Maisonette	7	21	15	20	6	41	2	9	30	23
1 Bed	1	70	1	37	1	113			3	73
2 Bed	4	16	8	21	4	20	2	9	18	19
3 Bed	2	6	6	14	1	53			9	17
Studio flat	4	19	15	41	12	60	17	17	48	36
Total	428	47	524	64	661	72	971	36	2584	53

Note: This data excludes homes let by direct match

Of the 2,584 homes advertised during the last quarter, 392 (15%) received over 100 bids. Whilst 188 homes (7%) received 5 bids or less, the large majority of which were flats.



#### **Rejection Reasons**

During the year landlords set a total of 3,794 bids to match or offer rejected. Table 9 shows the reasons used. 1,848 households were match or offer rejected (as some households were match or offer rejected for more than 1 property) by landlords for 1,235 homes (as more than one household was match or offer rejected on some homes).

24 households were match or offer rejected 10 or more times during the year. One of these households, in Gold Band as an accepted homeless case, was rejected 32 times by 5 different landlords during the year.

The most common reasons used for rejecting households were: 'Landlord\_Property not suitable for applicant', 'Applicant no longer wants to be considered', 'Applicant considered unsuitable due to arrears/debts', 'Applicant did not respond to offer' and 'Applicant considered unsuitable due to ASB/Convictions'. Together these reasons accounted 56% of all rejections during the year.

Table 9 and Chart 2 show that there was variation between landlords in the most common match and offer rejection reasons they used during the last quarter. For example, 'Landlord\_Property not suitable for applicant' was the most common reason used by Aster (18% of all rejections), LiveWest (16%) and Magna (16%). Whilst 'Applicant did not respond' was the most common rejection used by Homes in Sedgemoor (18%), LiveWest (16%) and Stonewater (23%). 'Applicant considered unsuitable due to arrears/debts' was the most common reason used by Somerset West & Taunton (18%) and 'Applicant no longer wants to be considered' was the most common reason used by Yarlington (15%).



Table 9: Match or Offer Rejection reasons used by landlords (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

	As	ter	ŀ	HiS	Live	West	Ma	gna	Ston	ewater	S	WT	Yarlir	ngton	01	ther¹	To	tal
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Landlord_Property not suitable for																		
applicant	156	20%	16	7%	53	16%	51	16%	48	18%	46	12%	131	10%	12	6%	513	14%
Applicant no longer wants to be considered	86	11%	20	9%	45	14%	49	15%	16	6%	24	6%	197	15%	26	13%	463	12%
Applicant considered unsuitable due to																		
arrears/debts	83	11%	18	8%	32	10%	45	14%	19	7%	71	18%	150	12%	13	6%	431	11%
Applicant did not respond	23	3%	39	18%	50	16%	32	9%	59	23%	41	10%	148	11%	53	26%	445	12%
Applicant considered unsuitable due to																		
ASB/Convictions	55	7%	2	1%	10	3%	9	3%	42	16%	9	2%	128	10%	19	9%	274	7%
Applicant states area is unsuitable	9	1%	25	12%	22	7%	34	11%	5	2%	47	12%	59	5%	9	4%	210	6%
Applicant states property not suitable	11	1%	29	13%	13	4%	29	9%	11	4%	36	9%	33	3%	13	6%	175	5%
Landlord_Change of circumstances																		
identified - Inform LA	12	2%	11	5%	25	8%	22	7%	1	0%	40	10%	39	3%	8	4%	158	4%
Landlord_Doesn't meet agreed local																		
lettings Plan	14	2%		0%	3	1%	1	0%	2	1%	3	1%	55	4%	2	1%	80	2%
Landlord_Adapted housing required	41	5%		0%	2	1%	6	2%	2	1%	15	4%	4	0%	2	1%	72	2%
Landlord_Offer withdrawn by landlord	11	1%	5	2%	10	3%	8	2%	3	1%	2	1%	26	2%	2	1%	67	2%
Landlord_Property is adapted & applicant																		
has no requirement for adaptations	63	8%		0%		0%		0%	2	1%		0%		0%	0	0%	65	2%
Landlord_Location deemed unsuitable	10	1%	11	5%	6	2%	1	0%	2	1%	3	1%	25	2%	6	3%	64	2%
Landlord_Property unaffordable	1	0%		0%	5	2%	8	2%	7	3%	1	0%	34	3%	5	2%	61	2%
Landlord_Doesn't require supported hsg	8	1%	1	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	47	4%	0	0%	56	1%
Landlord_Requires ground floor	14	2%	4	2%	6	2%		0%	3	1%	19	5%	10	1%	0	0%	56	1%
Landlord_Applicant is on autobid	34	4%		0%		0%	1	0%		0%	3	1%	10	1%	1	0%	49	1%
Landlord_Health Reasons for applicant	4	1%	2	1%	6	2%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	29	2%	3	1%	47	1%
Applicant has not complied with previous/																		
existing tenancy conditions	12	2%	2	1%	1	0%	4	1%	9	3%	2	1%	10	1%	1	0%	41	1%
Landlord_Fails to meet housing needs	19	2%	3	1%		0%		0%	4	2%	5	1%	8	1%	0	0%	39	1%
Applicant states bedrooms too small	4	1%	6	3%		0%	1	0%	1	0%	6	2%	16	1%	2	1%	36	1%

\_


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Other' includes Curo, Guinness, Hanover, Hastoe, Sanctuary, Selwood and SHAL

Table 9 (Continued): Match or Offer Rejection reasons used by landlords (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

	As	ter	ŀ	HiS	Live	eWest	Ma	igna	Ston	ewater	S	WT	Yarlin	ngton	0	ther	To	otal
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Applicant did not complete verification	8	1%	2	1%	4	1%	2	1%	1	0%	4	1%	10	1%	3	1%	34	1%
Landlord_Ground floor not required	27	3%		0%	1	0%		0%		0%	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%	32	1%
Applicant doesn't want to move	4	1%	1	0%	5	2%	4	1%	7	3%	1	0%	4	0%	5	2%	31	1%
Landlord_Pets – No/type incompatible with																		
property	4	1%	2	1%	3	1%		0%		0%	1	0%	14	1%	3	1%	27	1%
Applicant failed to attend viewing/letting	5	1%	6	3%		0%	4	1%		0%	4	1%	6	0%	1	0%	26	1%
Applicant state unable to afford rent in																		
advance		0%		0%	2	1%	3	1%	1	0%	1	0%	15	1%	0	0%	22	1%
Landlord_Does not meet rural lettings																		
policy		0%		0%	10	3%		0%	7	3%		0%	5	0%	0	0%	22	1%
Applicant states they can't afford to move	4	1%	1	0%		0%		0%	1	0%	3	1%	12	1%	0	0%	21	1%
Applicant feels property isn't affordable	1	0%	2	1%		0%		0%	2	1%	1	0%	10	1%	4	2%	20	1%
Landlord_Equal Bid given greater priority	18	2%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	2	0%	0	0%	20	1%
Landlord_No housing need	4	1%		0%	1	0%		0%	1	0%		0%	12	1%	0	0%	18	0%
Applicant doesn't like community or																		
Neighbours	7	1%		0%	1	0%	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%	1	0%	2	1%	17	0%
Landlord_Sensitive let applicant unsuitable	9	1%	3	1%	2	1%		0%	3	1%		0%		0%	0	0%	17	0%
Landlord_Doesn't meet band criteria	5	1%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	5	0%	2	1%	12	0%
Landlord unable to make contact with																		
applicant – Inform LA	1	0%		0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	5	2%	11	0%
Landlord_Requires supported housing	5	1%		0%		0%		0%	1	0%		0%	5	0%	0	0%	11	0%
Fails to meet housing needs	2	0%	2	1%		0%		0%		0%		0%	4	0%	0	0%	8	0%
Landlord_No children under 16		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	8	1%	0	0%	8	0%
Landlord_Property not suitable due to																		
harassment risk to applicant	3	0%	1	0%	1	0%		0%	1	0%		0%		0%	1	0%	7	0%
Applicant states condition of property not																		
satisfactory	1	0%	1	0%	2	1%	1	0%		0%		0%		0%	1	0%	6	0%
Landlord_Owner occupier/financially																		
secure	2	0%		0%	1	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	0	0%	3	0%
Total	782	100%	215	100%	323	100%	321	100%	266	100%	396	100%	1286	100%	205	100%	3794	100%

Note: Percentages for each landlord refer to % of total rejections for that landlord (e.g. 9% of households rejected by Aster were due to arrears/debts)

Chart 2: The proportion of times the most common match & offer rejection reasons were used by the largest landlords (1 April 19 – 31 March 20)



#### **Homes Let**

Between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020 2,526 homes across Somerset were set to let<sup>2</sup>. This is a 22% increase (406 homes) from the number of homes let in the previous year from 1 April 2018 to 31 March 2019. This increase is partly due to a number of older adverts being updated to let in the last quarter of 2019/20.

Tables 10 and 11 set out the profile of the homes set to let in the last quarter by size, local authority and landlord.

40% of homes let in the last year were 2 bed homes, 38% were 1 bed and 19% were 3 bed. Only 40 homes (1%) were let that had 4 or more bedrooms.

South Somerset accounted for over 1 in 3 (36%) of homes let, Somerset West & Taunton for just over 1 in 4 (26%), Sedgemoor just over 1 in 5 (21%) and Mendip accounted for the smallest proportion at 17%.

Table 10: Homes let between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020 by property local authority

		1	2	3	4	5	6		
	Studio	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	%
Mendip	3	171	195	63	8	0	0	440	17%
Sedgemoor	15	193	205	120	5	0	1	539	21%
Somerset West & Taunton	8	264	251	112	12	1	0	648	26%
South Somerset	10	327	372	177	11	2	0	899	36%
Total (No.)	36	955	1,023	472	36	3	1	2,526	100%
Total (%)	1%	38%	40%	19%	1%	0%	0%	100%	

Amongst landlords, Yarlington accounted for the largest proportion (24%) of homes let. This was significantly higher than the next largest proportion of homes let – 13% by Somerset West & Taunton. Homes in Sedgemoor, LiveWest and Stonewater each accounted for 11% of homes let, whilst Aster and Magna accounted for 10% and 7% respectively. Together these 7 largest partner landlords accounted for 87% of homes let in the last year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These homes were set to Offer Accepted



\_

Table 11: Homes let between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020 by landlord

	Studio	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed	5 Bed	6 Bed	Total	%
Acorn		1						1	0%
Aster Communities	2	99	126	30	3			260	10%
Clarion Housing		1						1	0%
Curo		2	5	1		1		9	0%
Falcon Rural		1	6					7	0%
Guinness Hermitage		8	8	7				23	1%
Habinteg			2					2	0%
Hanover		6						6	0%
Hastoe HA		1	15	5	2			23	1%
Homes in Sedgemoor	15	140	83	45	3		1	287	11%
LiveWest	1	74	111	82	5	1		274	11%
Magna Housing		47	85	33				165	7%
Places For People		8	2	2	1			13	1%
Sanctuary HA	2	26	31	8	1			68	3%
Selwood Housing		31	19	11	2			63	2%
SHAL		6	14	6				26	1%
Southwestern Housing Society			7	3				10	0%
Sovereign HA			1					1	0%
Stonewater		82	128	49	8	1		268	11%
Tamar Housing			36	29				65	3%
Somerset West & Taunton	7	167	94	62	7			337	13%
White Horse Housing		3	3					6	0%
Wyvern Rural		1	5	2				8	0%
Yarlington	9	251	242	97	4			603	24%
Total	36	955	1023	472	36	3	1	2,526	100%

Tables 12 and 13 show that just over a third (36%) of homes were let to households in the Gold band, whilst just under a half (47%) were let to households in the Silver band. The proportion of homes let to households in the Gold Band varied from 30% of homes in Mendip to 41% of homes in Somerset West & Taunton. Whilst the proportion of homes let to households in Silver band across the 4 local authority areas ranged from 44% in Somerset West & Taunton to 51% in Mendip. 15% of homes were let to households in Bronze band. In a small number (17) of older cases the Band is not known.

Table 12: Homes let 1 April 2019 - 31 March 2020 by property local authority and Band

	Emergency		Gold		Sil	Silver		Bronze		Not known	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Total
Mendip	10	2%	134	30%	224	51%	66	15%	6	1%	440
Sedgemoor	8	1%	204	38%	250	46%	74	14%	3	1%	539
Somerset West & Taunton	11	2%	264	41%	286	44%	82	13%	5	1%	648
South Somerset	15	2%	304	34%	423	47%	154	17%	3	0%	899
Total	44	2%	906	36%	1183	47%	376	15%	17	1%	2,526



The proportion of homes let to applicants in different Bands also varied by landlord (Table 13). Amongst the 7 landlords who let the most homes in the last year, the proportion of homes let to households in Gold Band ranged from 29% for Aster to 45% for Somerset West & Taunton.

Table 13: Homes let between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020 by landlord and Band

	Emergency		Gold		Silver		Bronze		Not Known		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Acorn	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1
Aster	9	3%	75	29%	140	54%	36	14%	0	0%	260
Clarion	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1
Curo	0	0%	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	9
Falcon Rural	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	5	71%	0	0%	7
Guinness	1	4%	4	17%	12	52%	6	26%	0	0%	23
Habinteg	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2
Hanover	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	6
Hastoe HA	0	0%	3	13%	11	48%	4	17%	5	22%	23
Homes in Sedgemoor	7	2%	110	38%	130	45%	39	14%	1	0%	287
LiveWest	5	2%	119	43%	133	49%	17	6%	0	0%	274
Magna Housing	4	2%	54	33%	95	58%	12	7%	0	0%	165
Places For People	1	8%	1	8%	6	46%	3	23%	2	15%	13
Sanctuary HA	0	0%	35	51%	23	34%	10	15%	0	0%	68
Selwood Housing	1	2%	18	29%	31	49%	13	21%	0	0%	63
SHAL	0	0%	9	35%	8	31%	9	35%	0	0%	26
Southwestern Housing Society	1	10%	3	30%	4	40%	2	20%	0	0%	10
Sovereign HA	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1
Stonewater	1	0%	89	33%	119	44%	52	19%	7	3%	268
Tamar Housing	0	0%	0	0%	20	31%	45	69%	0	0%	65
Somerset West &											
Taunton	5	1%	150	45%	141	42%	41	12%	0	0%	337
White Horse Housing	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	6
Wyvern Rural	0	0%	1	13%	2	25%	4	50%	1	13%	8
Yarlington	9	1%	228	38%	293	49%	73	12%	0	0%	603
Total	44	2%	906	36%	1183	47%	376	15%	17	1%	2,526

388 homes were let to homeless applicants in Gold Band or Silver Under Relief between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020. The proportion of homes let to homeless applicants during the year varied from 19% in Sedgemoor, to 8% in Mendip – see Table 14.

Table 14: Homes let to homeless applicants in Gold Band or Silver Under Relief between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020 by property local authority

	No. of homes let	No. of homes let to homeless applicants in Gold Band or Silver Under Relief	% of all homes let
Mendip	440	35	8%
Sedgemoor	539	105	19%
Somerset West & Taunton	648	79	12%
South Somerset	899	169	19%
Total	2,526	388	15%



Amongst the 7 landlords who let the most homes in the last year, the proportion of homes let to homeless applicants in Gold Band or Silver Under Relief varied from 21% of homes let by Yarlington to 6% of homes let by Aster – see Table 15.

Table 15: Homes let to homeless applicants in Gold Band or Silver Under Relief between 1

April 2019 and 31 March 2020 by landlord

	No. of homes let	No. of homes let to homeless applicants in Gold Band or Silver Under Relief	% of all homes let	
Acorn	1	0	0%	
Aster	260	16	6%	
Clarion	1	0	0%	
Curo	9	1	11%	
Falcon Rural	7	0	0%	
Guinness Hermitage	23	0	0%	
Habinteg	2	0	0%	
Hanover	6	0	0%	
Hastoe HA	23	1	4%	
Homes in Sedgemoor	287	58	20%	
LiveWest	274	44	16%	
Magna Housing	165	22	13%	
Places For People	13	0	0%	
Sanctuary HA	68	13	19%	
Selwood Housing	63	9	14%	
SHAL	26	5	19%	
Southwestern Housing Society	10	2	20%	
Sovereign HA	1	0	0%	
Stonewater	268	37	14%	
Tamar Housing	65	3	5%	
Somerset West & Taunton	337	49	15%	
White Horse Housing	6	2	33%	
Wyvern Rural	8	0	0%	
Yarlington	603	126	21%	
Total	2,526	388	15%	



Nearly 30,000 homes have been let through Homefinder Somerset since 2009. The total number of lets per year by size is shown in Table 16.

**Table 16: Number of properties let** 

	Studio	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed	5 Bed	6 Bed	Total
2009	41	927	1,063	477	42	2	0	2,552
2010	41	926	1,078	576	52	4	1	2,678
2011	48	933	1,381	635	56	6	0	3,059
2012	46	944	1,358	717	55	3	1	3,124
2013	50	969	1,264	588	49	8	0	2,928
2014	54	935	1,148	547	48	4	0	2,736
2015	40	1,031	1,294	605	36	4	2	3,012
2016	43	832	1,065	446	31	3	0	2,420
2017	37	847	953	365	27	2	0	2,231
2018	35	866	791	333	34	1	0	2,060
2019	32	905	913	376	38	2	1	2,267
2020	10	249	311	159	9	1	0	739
Total (No.)	477	10,364	12,619	5,824	477	40	5	29,806
Total (%)	2%	35%	42%	20%	2%	0%	0%	100%

For more information please contact Rupert Warren (<u>r.warren@somersetwestandtaunton.gov.uk</u>)

